

THE HAGUE ACADEMY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW  
L'ACADEMIE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL DE LA HAYE

# Epidemics and International Law

EDITED BY

Shinya Murase  
Suzanne Zhou

BRILL | NIJHOFF

2021  
Leiden/Boston

## CONTENTS

### Preface – Foreword

<b>Part I – Introduction</b>	
<b>The Reports of the Directors of Studies</b> . . . . .	1

#### *Chapter 1*

SOME REFLECTIONS ON THE SCOPE OF THE TOPIC “EPIDEMICS AND INTERNATIONAL LAW” . . . . .	3
Section 1. Epidemics, pandemics, PHEICs, and other terms . . . . .	4
Section 2. Epidemics and the scope of this volume. . . . .	12
Section 3. What fields of international law does this volume cover? . . . . .	13
Section 4. Additional areas of international law relating to epidemics . . . . .	16
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	18

#### *Chapter 2*

INTERRELATIONSHIP AMONG RELEVANT RULES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW ON EPIDEMICS . . . . .	19
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	19
Section 2. Principle of interrelationship . . . . .	20
Section 3. International human rights law . . . . .	22
Section 4. International environmental law. . . . .	26
Section 5. International trade and investment law . . . . .	30
Section 6. International transport law . . . . .	35
Section 7. International law on peace and security and international humanitarian law . . . . .	38
Section 8. Other laws. . . . .	40
Section 9. Conclusion . . . . .	42

<b>Part II – History and Theory of International Law</b>	
<b>Relating to Epidemics</b> . . . . .	43

#### *Chapter 3*

THE HISTORICAL EMERGENCE OF INTERNATIONAL HEALTH REGULATIONS. . . . .	45
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	45
Section 2. Italy and the early “inter-national” regulations . . . . .	46
Section 3. The emergence of international health governance in the nineteenth century . . . . .	51
Section 4. Conclusion: international health law in the twenty-first century . . . . .	55

#### *Chapter 4*

THE CONCEPT OF BORDERS IN INTERNATIONAL HEALTH LAW. . . . .	59
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	59
Section 2. International borders as legal fiction . . . . .	61
Section 3. The concept of borders in international health law . . . . .	67
Section 4. (International) border measures and pandemic management . . . . .	72
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	77

*Chapter 5*

VALUE-BASED NORMS AS THE FOUNDATION FOR THE PURSUIT OF HEALTH IN GLOBAL SOLIDARITY . . . . . 79

Section 1. Introduction . . . . . 79

Section 2. Value-based norms and global solidarity . . . . . 81

Section 3. Global solidarity and the UN’s value-based purposes and principles . . . . . 84

    Para. 1. Introduction . . . . . 84

    Para. 2. United Nations Conference on international organization of 1945 . . . . . 85

    Para. 3. UN General Assembly and UN World Conference declarations between 1945-2021 . . . . . 87

        A. Introduction . . . . . 87

        B. Global solidarity in pursuit of the UN’s purposes and principles . . . . . 88

        C. Global solidarity with the most vulnerable of the international community. . . . . 90

    Para. 4. Human Rights Council and its independent experts on solidarity . . . . . 93

    Para. 5. Security Council . . . . . 96

    Para. 6. The Secretariat . . . . . 97

Section 4. Value-based norms, global solidarity, health and the epidemic . . . . . 98

    Para. 1. Introduction . . . . . 98

    Para. 2. UN General Assembly and UN World Conference on Health. . . . . 100

    Para. 3. Human Rights Council and its independent experts on solidarity on health. . . . . 109

Section 5. Concluding remarks and look into the future . . . . . 113

*Chapter 6*

GLOBAL HUMAN RIGHTS PRAXIS IN PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE RESPONSE TO COVID-19 . . . . . 117

Section 1. Unpacking the institutional human rights response to Covid-19 . . . . . 118

Section 2. The function of counter-hegemonic practices to the right to health . . . . . 123

Section 3. Limitations of centring a human rights response to Covid-19 in a neoliberal setting. . . . . 130

Section 4. The politics of survival during Covid-19 . . . . . 134

Section 5. The afterlives of Covid-19 and global human rights praxis . . . . . 136

Section 6. Conclusion . . . . . 138

**Part III – Global Health Governance. . . . . 139**

*Chapter 7*

THE LAW OF GLOBAL GOVERNANCE: UNDERSTANDING THE INSTITUTIONAL ARCHITECTURE AND PRACTICES OF EPIDEMIC GOVERNANCE . . . . . 141

Section 1. Introduction . . . . . 141

Section 2. Public private institutions of cooperation: challenges to the study of international organisations . . . . . 144

    Para. 1. Definitions. . . . . 145

        A. Defining international organisations and international institutions. . . . . 145

        B. A definition of public-private partnerships. . . . . 146

Para. 2. Categories of institutional structures relevant for epidemic governance . . . . .	148
Para. 3. Challenges to the law of international organisations or Why we need a law of global governance. . . . .	150
A. The challenges . . . . .	150
B. The law of global governance . . . . .	151
Section 3. The legal status of ACT-A: reshaping the architecture of global health governance. . . . .	152
Para. 1. ACT-A: A temporary framework for networks . . . . .	152
Para. 2. ACT-A vaccine pillar: COVAX. . . . .	155
Para. 3. A new category? ACT-A as a temporary framework for existing organisations and networks . . . . .	157
Section 4. Deformalising participation: members, partners, participants, stakeholders . . . . .	159
Para. 1. Partners . . . . .	160
Para. 2. Participants . . . . .	161
Para. 3. Stakeholders. . . . .	163
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	164
 <i>Chapter 8</i>	
INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS BEFORE HEALTH EMERGENCIES . . . . .	167
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	167
Section 2. Legal instruments on which the obligations are based . . . . .	169
Para. 1. The foundational treaty of the WHO . . . . .	169
Para. 2. The International Health Regulations . . . . .	170
Section 3. Obligations assumed by states parties with the WHO. . . . .	175
Para. 1. General issues. . . . .	175
Para. 2. The obligation to prevent the international spread of disease . . . . .	177
Para. 3. The obligation of States to inform WHO . . . . .	178
Section 4. Conventional obligations of the WHO . . . . .	181
Para. 1. General obligations . . . . .	181
Para. 2. Obligation to declare a public health emergency of international concern . . . . .	182
Section 5. Conclusions . . . . .	185
 <i>Chapter 9</i>	
WHEN A DELAY IS A DENIAL: THE ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE IN THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC . . . . .	189
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	189
Section 2. The role of scientific evidence in international law . . . . .	191
Section 3. The role of scientific evidence in the World Health Organization's work . . . . .	193
Para. 1. The WHO's original mission: Science, science, nothing else but science . . . . .	194
Para. 2. A new understanding of the WHO's epistemic authority? . . . . .	197
Section 4. The WHO's handling of scientific evidence during the Covid-19 pandemic . . . . .	200
Section 5. Conclusions . . . . .	204

*Chapter 10*

INFORMATION SHARING ON EPIDEMICS: MAKING THE WORLD A HEALTHIER PLACE BY IMPROVING THE REPORTING REGIME . . . . .	205
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	205
Section 2. Obligation to report disease outbreaks as a core of the global epidemic surveillance regime . . . . .	206
Para. 1. Background and legal nature of the obligation to report disease outbreaks. . . . .	207
Para. 2. Notification duty and its counterparts under the other legal regimes . . . . .	209
Para. 3. Reporting disease outbreaks under the IHR: scope and content of the obligation within the <i>lex specialis</i> regime . . . . .	211
Section 3. Why fail? Global disease surveillance and shortcomings of the reporting mechanism . . . . .	213
Para. 1. States' capacity and willingness to ensure proper disease surveillance . . . . .	214
Para. 2. Administrative and technical issues affecting the reporting regime . . . . .	216
Para. 3. Role of non-state actors in the global disease surveillance regime . . . . .	217
Para. 4. General issues of compliance with the IHR obligations. . . . .	219
Section 4. Moving forward: Ways to improve the reporting regime under the IHR . . . . .	220
Para. 1. Fixing technical wrongs to streamline information flow . . . . .	221
Para. 2. Creating room for non-state actors to participate in the epidemic surveillance regime . . . . .	222
Para. 3. Enhancing compliance with the reporting obligations under the IHR . . . . .	223
Para. 4. Adopting the architecture of disease surveillance to novel chal- lenges . . . . .	224
Section 5. Conclusions . . . . .	227

*Chapter 11*

WHO IS WHO WATCHING? WHO'S SURVEILLANCE COMPETENCE UNDER THE 2005 INTERNATIONAL HEALTH REGULATIONS TO PREVENT AND CONTROL THE INTERNATIONAL SPREAD OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES . . . . .	229
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	229
Section 2. The institutional powers of WHO . . . . .	230
Para. 1. The WHO constitution, "A <i>magna carta</i> for world health" . . . . .	230
Para. 2. The World Health Assembly . . . . .	233
Section 3. The international health regulations and WHO's surveillance competence to prevent and control the international spread of infectious diseases. . . . .	236
Para. 1. The International Health Regulations . . . . .	236
Para. 2. Current surveillance system under the 2005 IHR . . . . .	239
A. Information sharing . . . . .	240
B. Consultation . . . . .	243
C. Verification of information . . . . .	244

Para. 3. The interim progress report of the Review Committee: An assessment of the IHR's current surveillance system during the Covid-19 pandemic. . . . .	245
Section 4. Conclusion . . . . .	247

### *Chapter 12*

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND ASSISTANCE AS LEGAL OBLIGATIONS IN EPIDEMICS AND DISASTERS . . . . .	249
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	249
Section 2. The IHR and international cooperation . . . . .	250
Section 3. International cooperation as a legal obligation in international law . . . . .	253
Section 4. Legal challenges in establishing a duty to cooperate . . . . .	258
Para. 1. Codifying a duty to cooperate and the sovereignty concerns . . . . .	259
Para. 2. Implications for epidemic response . . . . .	262
Section 5. Is there a right to humanitarian assistance in epidemics? . . . . .	264
Section 6. Conclusion . . . . .	267

## **Part IV – Regional Framework . . . . . 269**

### *Chapter 13*

LEGAL ISSUES OF THE INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK IN LATIN-AMERICA CONCERNING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC . . . . .	271
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	271
Section 2. The institutional framework in Latin America . . . . .	272
Para. 1. The Organization of American States . . . . .	272
Para. 2. Subregional organizations: the Union of South American Nations and the Southern Common Market . . . . .	273
Para. 3. The regional health institution: Pan American Health Organization . . . . .	274
Para. 4. The human rights protection system: Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and Inter-American Court of Human Rights . . . . .	275
Para. 5. Analytical summary . . . . .	276
Section 3. Analysis of the legal obligations – related to health – in the region. . . . .	277
Para. 1. General treaty obligations related to health . . . . .	277
Para. 2. Regional jurisprudence on the right to health . . . . .	280
Para. 3. Declarations of the regional international organizations concerning health and soft law instruments . . . . .	283
Para. 4. Analytical summary. . . . .	284
Section 4. The practices of the latin american organizations concerning epidemics/pandemics. . . . .	284
Para. 1. The cases pre-Covid: A history of success . . . . .	285
Para. 2. The Covid-19 pandemic in Latin-America . . . . .	287
Para. 3. Analytical summary. . . . .	293
Section 5. Conclusions . . . . .	293

*Chapter 14*

DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL HEALTH LAW: THE ROLE OF THE AFRICAN UNION	295
Section 1. The role of the African Union in the development of international health law	295
Para. 1. Introduction	295
Para. 2. Achieving health agendas through Agenda 2063	297
Para. 3. African Union's reforms supporting international health law	300
Section 2. The right to health: A human right perspective	303
Para. 1. The right to health: A prerequisite to all other human rights	303
Para. 2. The involvement of judicial dialogue	309
Para. 3. The role of leadership and political commitment	311
Section 3. Conclusion	314

**Part V – Human Rights and Health** . . . . . 317

*Chapter 15*

RESTRICTIONS ON CIVIL RIGHTS IN TIME OF EPIDEMICS	319
Section 1. Introduction	319
Section 2. Normal limitations and exceptional derogations of civil rights	320
Para. 1. General principles	320
Para. 2. Necessity and proportionality of measures adopted in an epidemic context	326
Para. 3. Prohibition of discrimination	329
Section 4. Measures to fight the epidemic and restrictions to civil rights	331
Para. 1. Quarantine/isolation, curfew and lockdown	331
Para. 2. Border closure and restriction of movements	334
Para. 3. Compulsory medical checks and vaccination	337
Para. 4. Information and expression	339
Section 5. Conclusions	342

*Chapter 16*

EMERGENCY STATE POWERS AND HUMAN RIGHTS	343
Section 1. Introduction	343
Section 2. Emergency state powers in theory: exceptional and temporary	344
Section 3. The scope of the Covid-19 pandemic and its consequences on human rights	346
Section 4. Derogation from international human rights law during states of emergency	351
Section 5. Overview of jurisprudential interpretation	356
Section 6. Accommodating the existing derogation regime with the scope of the Covid -19 pandemic	361
Section 7. Conclusion	366

*Chapter 17*

LEGAL STRATEGY TO SAFEGUARD THE RIGHT TO PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION IN FUTURE EPIDEMICS	367
Section 1. Introduction	367

Para. 1. Data privacy violations during Covid-19: Better policies are needed . . . . .	368
Para. 2. Right to personal data protection . . . . .	370
Para. 3. The prevention strategy to face new epidemics protecting personal data . . . . .	376
Section 2. An act of parliament. . . . .	378
Section 3. Compatibility of particular technologies with human rights . . . . .	380
Section 4. Administrative decisions in case of emergency . . . . .	385
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	386

### *Chapter 18*

STATE POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS AND THE INTERNATIONAL RIGHT TO HEALTH IN EPIDEMICS: HOW MUCH SHOULD BE ENOUGH? . . . . .	389
Section 1. Epidemic control and socio-economic rights standards . . . . .	391
Para. 1. Maximum available resources. . . . .	392
Para. 2. Obligations of immediate effect . . . . .	393
Para. 3. International cooperation . . . . .	394
Para. 4. Non-discrimination . . . . .	396
Para. 5. Reasonableness as a standard of review. . . . .	397
Para. 6. Additional standards . . . . .	398
Section 2. The right to health in epidemics. . . . .	399
Para. 1. Epidemic preparedness as a right to health obligation. . . . .	401
Section 3. Epidemics in the CESCR practice. . . . .	403
Section 4. Conclusions (or questions) of resources and time for the Committee and other human rights bodies) . . . . .	404

## **Part VI – Environment and Epidemics . . . . . 409**

### *Chapter 19*

EPIDEMICS AND CLIMATE CHANGE IN INTERNATIONAL LAW . . . . .	411
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	411
Section 2. Epidemics and climate change at the intersection of international law . . . . .	412
Para. 1. Exploring the connection between climate change, human health and epidemics . . . . .	412
Para. 2. Addressing climate change and health through institutions and cooperation . . . . .	415
A. Promoting climate-health stability from UNEP and the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties. . . . .	415
B. The ongoing WHO global institutional strategies in response to climate change . . . . .	416
Para. 3. Legal techniques to address the uncertainty of the climate change impact as the leading cause of epidemics . . . . .	418
A. The legal framework to address epidemics and climate change . . . . .	418
B. Deconstructing epidemics and climate change uncertainty issues from an integrated approach in national jurisdictions . . . . .	419
C. International jurisprudence to secure the right to health and the right to a healthy environment: An integrated approach to address epidemics . . . . .	422



Section 3. The proposition of a multicentric vision to protect the earth's life and human health as a whole . . . . .	424
Para. 1. Ecologization of international law humanization . . . . .	424
Para. 2. Promoting a cooperative regime interaction through the WHO's institutional strategy expansion to address epidemics and climate change . . . . .	426
Para. 3. Integrating international environmental principles to climate-epidemics from international organizations . . . . .	430
Section 4. Conclusion and final thoughts . . . . .	433
<i>Chapter 20</i>	
UNDERSTANDING THE INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BIODIVERSITY AND EPIDEMICS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW . . . . .	435
Section 1. Basic concepts and connections . . . . .	435
Para. 1. Biodiversity . . . . .	435
Para. 2. Epidemics and biodiversity . . . . .	436
Section 2. International health law . . . . .	437
Section 3. International biodiversity law . . . . .	438
Para. 1. Emerging trend at the UN level: One Health approach . . . . .	439
Para. 2. Specific legal fields and relevant rules . . . . .	441
A. Biodiversity habitat . . . . .	441
B. Trade in biological resources . . . . .	442
C. Access and benefit sharing (ABS) . . . . .	443
D. Biosafety . . . . .	446
Section 4. Conclusion . . . . .	448
<i>Chapter 21</i>	
TRANSBOUNDARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENTS AND THE INTERNATIONAL CONTROL OF INFECTIOUS DISEASE SPREAD . . . . .	449
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	449
Section 2. The transboundary environmental impact assessment obligation . . . . .	451
Para. 1. Scope and status in general international law . . . . .	451
Para. 2. Treaty regimes . . . . .	456
Section 3. Health and environmental law . . . . .	459
Para. 1. Principle 21 . . . . .	460
Para. 2. Principle of preventive action . . . . .	462
Para. 3. Precautionary principle . . . . .	463
Section 4. The TEIA obligation and infectious diseases . . . . .	464
Para. 1. Airborne disease . . . . .	465
Para. 2. Waterborne disease . . . . .	466
Para. 3. Vector-borne disease . . . . .	468
Section 5. Inspiration for IHR reform . . . . .	469
Section 6. Conclusion . . . . .	471
<b>Part VII – Investment and Transport Law . . . . .</b>	<b>473</b>
<i>Chapter 22</i>	
EPIDEMICS AND INVESTMENT LAW: A HOST STATE'S PERSPECTIVE . . . . .	475
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	475

Section 2. Treaty-based exemptions . . . . .	478
Section 3. The police powers doctrine . . . . .	480
Section 4. Circumstances precluding wrongfulness . . . . .	484
Para. 1. <i>Force majeure</i> . . . . .	485
Para. 2. Necessity . . . . .	488
Para. 3. Distress . . . . .	493
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	495
 <i>Chapter 23</i>	
COVID-19: A VICIOUS WAVE FOR MARITIME TRANSPORT . . . . .	499
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	499
Section 2. Applicable international law. . . . .	500
Para. 1. International Health Regulations . . . . .	501
Para. 2. UN Convention on the Law of the Sea . . . . .	502
Para. 3. International labour law . . . . .	504
Para. 4. Consular law . . . . .	505
Para. 5. IMO instruments . . . . .	506
A. Convention on Facilitation of International Maritime Traffic (FAL Convention, 1965) . . . . .	506
B. International Convention on Maritime Search and Rescue (SAR Convention, 1979) . . . . .	507
C. IMO conventions bearing on seaworthiness . . . . .	508
D. IMO circular letters on Covid-19 . . . . .	509
Para. 6. International civil aviation law . . . . .	509
Section 3. Assessing the impacts of Covid-19 on maritime activity . . . . .	511
Para. 1. Exercise of jurisdiction . . . . .	511
Para. 2. Supply chain disruption and the crew change crisis . . . . .	513
Para. 3. Business and human rights . . . . .	515
Para. 4. Cruise vessels . . . . .	518
Para. 5. Other developments . . . . .	519
Section 4. Conclusion and recommendations. . . . .	520
 <b>Part VIII – Epidemics and Peace and Security . . . . .</b>	
<b>523</b>	
 <i>Chapter 24</i>	
THE NEW FACET OF UNITED NATIONS PEACEKEEPING MISSIONS: RECOGNIZING GLOBAL HEALTH RISKS AS A THREAT TO INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY . . . . .	525
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	525
Section 2. Epidemics as a “threat to peace” . . . . .	528
Section 3. Impact of Covid-19 on current UN peacekeeping missions . . . . .	532
Para. 1. Mitigation policies . . . . .	534
Para. 2. Preemptive tasks . . . . .	537
Section 4. Final considerations . . . . .	542
 <i>Chapter 25</i>	
WHAT ROLE FOR THE UN SECURITY COUNCIL IN EPIDEMICS?: A COVID-19 CASE STUDY . . . . .	545
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	545
Section 2. Legal background . . . . .	547

Para. 1. The Security Council’s mandate and the rise of non-traditional security threats . . . . .	547
Para. 2. The securitization of international public health . . . . .	551
Section 3. Resolution 2532 (2020): stepping stone or high-water mark? . . .	554
Section 4. Prospective Security Council action on epidemics: A modeled approach . . . . .	558
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	563

### *Chapter 26*

THE UN AND THE HAITI CHOLERA CASE: ARTICULATING THE RULE OF LAW, IMMUNITIES AND RESPONSIBILITY OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN INTERNATIONAL LAW . . . . .	565
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	565
Section 2. Locating the peacekeeping and humanitarian context of the cholera in Haiti . . . . .	567
Para. 1. The complex and protracted humanitarian crisis in Haiti . . . . .	567
Para. 2. The cholera case in the tumultuous Haiti’s international relations . . . . .	568
Section 3. A confusing UN approach to immunity and responsibility in the lawmaking . . . . .	570
Para. 1. A functional approach to immunities of the UN in peacekeeping contexts . . . . .	570
Para. 2. The controversial debate between absolute and restrictive immunity . . . . .	572
Section 4. The interpretation of immunity and responsibility in the cholera case . . . . .	574
Para. 1. An incoherent approach to legal responsibility within IOs . . . . .	575
A. Invocation of immunities and rejection of legal responsibility within the UN system . . . . .	575
B. Limited actions within the OAS . . . . .	577
Para. 2. Within the specially affected states . . . . .	578
A. Limiting judicial proceedings in the US . . . . .	578
B. Limits of Haiti and Nepal in cooperation in respect of the cholera case . . . . .	580
Section 5. Articulating immunity, responsibility and the rule of law beyond the Haitian case . . . . .	582
Para. 1. Challenges raised by the cholera case with regard to the rule of law . . . . .	583
Para. 2. Exploring avenues in international law and diplomacy to bring about coherence . . . . .	584
Section 6. Conclusion . . . . .	587
 <b>Part IX – Responsibility and Liability . . . . .</b>	 589

### *Chapter 27*

LIABILITY OF THE STATE OF ORIGIN REGARDING THE OUTBREAK OF EPIDEMICS . . . . .	591
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	591
Section 2. From the <i>Lotus</i> principle world to the contemporary world . . . . .	593
Para. 1. Starting from the <i>Lotus</i> principle . . . . .	593
Para. 2. Departure from the binary approach of permission/prohibition . . . . .	594

A. <i>Ex delicto</i> accountability . . . . .	597
B. <i>Sine Delicto</i> liability . . . . .	598
Para. 3. The customary origin of State strict liability . . . . .	601
A. Acceptability of a specific regime . . . . .	601
B. Epidemic and ultra-hazardous harm: Analogies and similarities . . . . .	604
Section 3. Epidemics and the criteria of liability regime . . . . .	607
Para. 1. Outbreak: Activity or pure occurrence? . . . . .	607
A. Genesis of “activity” . . . . .	607
B. Accidental epidemics . . . . .	608
Para. 2. Causation: From <i>sine qua non</i> to reasonable imputation . . . . .	609
A. The extent of causation . . . . .	609
B. Epidemic challenges to causation factor . . . . .	609
Para. 3. Attribution: Which criteria? . . . . .	611
Section 4. Conclusion . . . . .	612
 <i>Chapter 28</i>	
REFLECTIONS ON THE CONCEPT OF RESPONSIBILITY IN EPIDEMICS . . . . .	615
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	615
Section 2. Cognitive and conceptual prerequisites regarding the law of responsibility: Is it possible to have them all adequately orchestrated when it comes to epidemics? . . . . .	620
Section 3. Is the contemporary regime on international responsibility able to deal with IHR’s duties and obligations? . . . . .	625
Section 4. Conclusion . . . . .	632
 <i>Chapter 29</i>	
IS THE WHO RESPONSIBLE FOR A MISMANAGEMENT OF THE EPIDEMIC? CONCEPTUALIZING MANDATE, POWER AND OBLIGATION . . . . .	635
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	635
Section 2. WHO and infectious disease . . . . .	637
Para. 1. WHO’s mandate and powers in the midst of epidemic . . . . .	637
Para. 2. WHO’s obligations in the midst of epidemic . . . . .	639
A. Sources of international organizations law . . . . .	639
B. The WHO’s obligations under its constitution and the IHR . . . . .	641
(1) WHO’s obligation to determine a PHEIC . . . . .	642
(2) WHO’s obligation to verify the information concerned before the determination of a PHEIC . . . . .	644
(3) WHO’s obligation to provide assistance after the determination of a PHEIC . . . . .	646
Section 3. Cases analysis on WHO’s obligations compliance in the event of Covid-19 . . . . .	646
Section 4. WHO’S role responsibility for a mismanagement of the epidemic . . . . .	648
Para. 1. The notion of role responsibility . . . . .	648
Para. 2. The legal basis of role responsibility . . . . .	649
A. IOs’ action cannot be legalized by the mandate: Certain Expenses . . . . .	650
B. The mandate is limited to the power: WHO Nuclear Weapons . . . . .	651
C. The power is limited to the express power: Effect of Awards . . . . .	652
D. The interactive effect between the mandate and power . . . . .	652
Section 5. Conclusion . . . . .	654

**Part X – Dispute Settlement. . . . . 657**

*Chapter 30*

THE ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE IN INTER-STATE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT RELATING TO EPIDEMICS . . . . .	659
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	659
Para. 1. Framework of inter-state dispute settlement relating to epidemics . . . . .	660
Para. 2. Health law and science . . . . .	663
Para. 3. Science and dispute settlement . . . . .	663
Section 2. Characteristics of disputes relating to epidemics . . . . .	665
Para. 1. IHR and scientific evidence . . . . .	665
Para. 2. International trade law obligations and scientific evidence . . . . .	667
Section 3. Risk management and scientific evidence under SPS . . . . .	669
Para. 1. SPS framework of risk management . . . . .	669
A. Members’ risk assessment . . . . .	670
B. Adjudicators’ role . . . . .	672
C. Relationship between risk assessment and scientific evidence . . . . .	675
D. The precautionary principle and scientific evidence under the SPS agreement . . . . .	676
Para. 2. The role of scientific evidence in risk management . . . . .	678
Section 4. Risk management and scientific evidence under the IHR . . . . .	678
Para. 1. PHEIC and risk management . . . . .	679
Para. 2. Requirement for additional measures . . . . .	680
A. The pathway of “scientific evidence” towards IHR . . . . .	681
B. Analogical reasoning . . . . .	682
C. Margin of appreciation and risk management . . . . .	684
Section 5. Standard of proof and scientific evidence . . . . .	685
Section 6. Conclusion . . . . .	688

*Chapter 31*

STATE IMMUNITY FROM CIVIL JURISDICTION IN EPIDEMIC-RELATED CASES . . . . .	689
Section 1. Introduction . . . . .	689
Section 2. States’ obligations in relation to epidemics . . . . .	690
Section 3. The law of State immunity: Applicable regime . . . . .	693
Para. 1. Identification of the entity responsible for the alleged wrongful act . . . . .	694
Para. 2. The distinction between <i>acta jure imperii</i> and <i>jure gestionis</i> , or the commercial exception to State immunity . . . . .	696
Para. 3. The forum tort exception . . . . .	698
Para. 4. Exceptions due to the gravity of the alleged conduct, the peremptory nature of the violated norm and/or the unavailability of alternative means of redress . . . . .	701
Section 4. Are domestic lawsuits against a foreign State appropriate and effective means to seek States’ accountability in relation to epidemics? . . . . .	704